

CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis

Government spending shocks, sovereign risk and the exchange rate regime

Dennis Bonam Jasper Lukkezen





#### Structure

- 1. Theoretical predictions
- 2. Empirical evidence
- 3. Our model
  - SOE NK DSGE model (Galì and Monacelli, 2008)
  - + sovereign risk (á la Davig et al., 2010)
  - + sovereign risk pass-through (á la Corsetti et al., 2012a)
- 4. Application: expansionary fiscal contractions



#### Predictions (base case)

#### Output effects of increase in government consumption:

	Mechanisms	Fix/Flex	
Mundell- Flemming	Crowding out of exports through RER and monetary accomodation.	Flex: Zero output response.	
		Fix: Positive output response.	
New- Keynesian	Country openness determines crowding out. Monetary accomo- dation. Wealth effects.	Flex: Positive output response. Fix: Larger positive output re- sponse.	



#### Predictions (+ sovereign risk)

- · Government spending increases sovereign risk premium
- Output effects depend on the ERR:
  - ► Flex: UIP-condition leads to ER depreciation, supports exports
  - ► Fix: CB shields households from sovereign risk. No effect.

(Corsetti et al., 2011; Born et al., 2012)



# Further insights: sovereign risk $\rightarrow$ private risk Spain Italy

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

# CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis 6/23

#### Euroframe Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR $\mid$ May 24, 2013

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Predictions (+ sovereign risk + pass-through)

- · Government spending increases sovereign risk premium
- Output effects depend on the ERR:
  - ► Flex: UIP-condition leads to ER depreciation, supports exports
  - ► Fix: CB shields households from sovereign risk. No effect

(Corsetti et al., 2011; Born et al., 2012)

- Sovereign and private risk are now correlated. Output effects depend on the deterioration of private borrowing conditions:
  - Flex: Reduction in private borrowing leads ER depreciation, higher borrowing cost reduce consumption. Effect on multiplier indeterminate.
  - Fix: Reduction in private borrowing cost not off-set by ER depreciation. Multiplier reduces.

(Bouakez and Eyquem, 2011; Corsetti et al., 2012b)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Empirical strategy

- Corsetti et al. (2012a) estimate effect of exogenous government spending shock of OECD sample using Perotti (1999)'s two-step process:
  - 1. Regress lagged economic variables on government consumption, identify the residuals as exogenous policy shocks
  - 2. Regress exogenous policy shocks on economic variables, identify the coefficients as multipliers
- They find:
  - Output multipliers higher under fix than float
  - Output multipliers lower under sovereign risk
- We distinguish the effect of sovereign risk under fixed and flexible exchange rates and repeat their analysis
- Data: 19 OECD countries, 1970 onwards

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Empirical results**

- · Float vs peg:
  - Output responses of float and fix indistinguishable
  - Consumption rises under float and falls under fix
  - Appreciation of the RER under float
- Weak public finances:
  - Output response bigger for float
  - Consumption increases under float and decreases under fix
  - Depreciation of the RER under a float

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Base case

Small open economy New Keynesian model (Galì and Monacelli, 2008):

Households	<ul> <li>Consume domestic and foreign goods</li> <li>Work domestically and enjoy leisure</li> <li>Invest in domestic government and interna-</li> </ul>
Firms	tional risk free bonds - Intermediate good firms are monopolistically competitive and employ households
	- Final good firms are perfectly competitive and use intermediate goods
Monetary policy	<ul> <li>Uses a Taylor rule as a float or fixes the ER</li> </ul>

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Base case: government

- Exogenous government consumption G<sub>t</sub>
- Financed through lump-sum taxation  $T_t$  and debt  $b_t$
- Fiscal policy stance  $\phi_b$  given by a Laffer curve

$$T_t = \phi_b \frac{T}{b/\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\pi_t} b_{t-1} - \frac{1}{\pi} b \right)$$

Euroframe Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR  $\mid$  May 24, 2013

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### + Sovereign risk

Government default mechanism á la Schabert and van Wijnbergen (2011):

- Ex-ante, default is unknown to government and investors, but its probability distribution *f* is known (anticipation game)
- Ex-post default depends on a draw  $\bar{b}$  from this distribution If the real debt burden  $\frac{1}{\pi_t}R_{t-1}b_{t-1}$  exceeds  $\bar{b}$  default ensues
- Hence, ex-ante default probability is

$$\delta_t = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi_t}R_{t-1}b_{t-1}} f(\bar{b})d\bar{b}$$

Euroframe Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR  $\mid$  May 24, 2013

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### + sovereign risk pass-through

- Incomplete asset markets
  - State contingent sec's unavailable, just safe foreign bonds
  - Private borrowing conditions and thus consumption decision influenced by sovereign risk Consumption and RER untied now
- Foreigners lend  $f_t$  to households with a risk premium  $\Xi_t$  over the international risk free rate  $R^*$
- Risk premium  $\Xi_t$  depends on public and private debt:

$$\Xi_t = \exp\left(rac{\chi_1 f_t q_t}{Y}
ight) \exp\left(rac{\chi_2 \delta_t b_{Ft}}{Y}
ight)$$

•  $\chi_1 = 0.0017$  and  $\chi_2 = 0.35$  (such that 1% additional government debt yields identical risk to 1% additional private risk)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Log-linearization, calibration

- Usual market clearing conditions
- · Log-linearized around the non-stochastic steady state
- Calibrated at literature defaults

+ for a BB-rated sovereign:  $\delta=0.002$  and  $\Phi=0.01$ 

Parameter	Description	Value
η	Elasticity between Foreign and Home goods	1.50
α	Country openness	0.60
α*	Foreign openness with respect to Home	0.01
$\sigma$	Inverse of the elasticity of intertemporal substitution	1.00
$\varphi$	Inverse of the Frisch labour supply elasticity	3.00
θ	Probability of non-price adjustment	0.75
β	Subjective discount factor	0.99
$\phi_{\pi}$	Monetary policy rule coefficient, flexible exchange rate	1.50
$\rho_r$	Nominal interest rate smoothing parameter	0.80
$\phi_e$	Monetary policy rule coefficient, fixed exchange rate	1 bn.
$\phi_b$	Fiscal policy rule coefficient	0.10
$ ho_g$	Persistence in government spending innovations	0.90
$b_F/(4Y)$	Steady state real government debt held by Foreign to output ratio	0.60
f/(4Y)	Steady state real household external debt to output ratio	0.25
G/Y	Steady state government consumption to output ratio	0.25
T/Y	Steady state taxes to output ratio	0.274
C/Y	Steady state household consumption to output ratio	0.75
$C^*/Y$	Steady state Foreign consumption to output ratio	20.0
Φ	Sovereign default elasticity	0.01
δ	Sovereign default probability	0.002

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Results

- Base case
  - Output response larger under fix
  - Consumption declines eventually, but not initially under fixed (!)
  - RER appreciates
- Base case + sovereign risk
  - Output response larger under float
  - Consumption increases under float
  - Initial RER depreciation under float
- Base case + sovereign risk + pass-through
  - Output differences widen
  - Consumption decreases for both float and fix
  - RER depreciates

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Robustness

- Does the NER appreciation drive the results?
  - Yes, (peg float) increases for higher elasticity between H and F
  - Yes, (peg float) increases for smaller home bias
  - Yes, (peg float) decreases for higher degree of intertemporal substitution
- · Are expansionary fiscal contractions feasible?
  - Effects become more pronounced with higher default elasticity  $\Phi$
  - Effects become more pronounced with higher pass-through  $\chi_2$

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Expansionary fiscal contractions: Initially yes!

Initial output response to fiscal contraction

Flexible exchange rates

0.35 Xo

-0.14

tnotno

-0.2

-8-87

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fixed exchange rates

0.04 0.25

0.03 Ф

#### Euroframe

Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR | May 24, 2013

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Expansionary fiscal contractions: Eventually no!

Cumulative output response to fiscal contraction

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Euroframe

Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR | May 24, 2013

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

- With sovereign risk, output multipliers larger under float due to depreciation (De Grauwe, 2012)
- Perfect capital markets shield households from sovereign risk
   under fix
- With pass-through household borrowing conditions are adversely affected by sovereign risk, increasing the output differences between pegs and floats This is an additional cost of a monetary union
- Expansionary fiscal contractions are possible under fixed ER with sufficient sovereign risk, however only initially.
- Data provides a poor match for consumption

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Thank you for your attention!

Euroframe Gov. spending shocks, sov. risk and the ERR | May 24, 2013

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Bibliography I

- Born, B., Jüßen, F., and Müller, G. (2012). Exchange rate regimes and fiscal multipliers. CEPR Discussion Papers 8986, CEPR Discussion Papers.
- Bouakez, H. and Eyquem, A. (2011). Government spending, monetary policy, and the real exchange rate. GATE Working Paper, 2011.
- Corsetti, G., Kuester, K., Meier, A., and Müller, G. (2012a). Sovereign risk, fiscal policy, and macroeconomic stability. IMF Working Paper, 2012.
- Corsetti, G., Kuester, K., and Müller, G. (2011). Floats, pegs and the transmission of fiscal policy. FRB of Philadelphia Working Paper, 2011.

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Bibliography II

- Corsetti, G., Meier, A., and Müller, G. J. (2012b). What determines government spending multipliers? *Economic Policy*, 27(72):521–565.
- Davig, T., Leeper, E. M., and Walker, T. B. (2010). "unfunded liabilities" and uncertain fiscal financing. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 57(5):600–619.
- De Grauwe, P. (2012). The governance of a fragile eurozone. *Australian Economic Review*, 45(3):255–268.
- Galì, J. and Monacelli, T. (2008). Optimal monetary and fiscal policy in a currency union. *Journal of International Economics*, 76(1):116–132.

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Bibliography III

- Perotti, R. (1999). Fiscal policy when things are going badly. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(4)(4):1399–1436.
- Schabert, A. and van Wijnbergen, S. (2011). Sovereign default and the stability of inflation targeting regimes. Tinbergen Institute Discussion Papers 11-064/2/ DSF20.

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

Parameter	Description	Value
η	Elasticity between Foreign and Home goods	1.50
α	Country openness	0.60
α*	Foreign openness with respect to Home	0.01
σ	Inverse of the elasticity of intertemporal substitution	1.00
$\varphi$	Inverse of the Frisch labour supply elasticity	3.00
θ	Probability of non-price adjustment	0.75
β	Subjective discount factor	0.99
$\phi_{\pi}$	Monetary policy rule coefficient, flexible exchange rate	1.50
$\rho_r$	Nominal interest rate smoothing parameter	0.80
$\phi_e$	Monetary policy rule coefficient, fixed exchange rate	1 bn.
$\phi_b$	Fiscal policy rule coefficient	0.10
$ ho_g$	Persistence in government spending innovations	0.90
$b_F/(4Y)$	Steady state real government debt held by Foreign to output ratio	0.60
f/(4Y)	Steady state real household external debt to output ratio	0.25
G/Y	Steady state government consumption to output ratio	0.25
T/Y	Steady state taxes to output ratio	0.274
C/Y	Steady state household consumption to output ratio	0.75
$C^*/Y$	Steady state Foreign consumption to output ratio	20.0
Φ	Sovereign default elasticity	0.01
δ	Sovereign default probability	0.002
$\chi_1$	Risk premium elasticity w.r.t. household net foreign debt	0.0017
χ2	Risk premium elasticity w.r.t. sovereign default losses	0.35